LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL.

No. S. 68.—The following Bills were read a first time at a meeting of the Council held on the 8th March, 1926:—

[No. 1:-24.2.26.-1.]

C.S.O. 3917/25.

A BILL

INTITULED

An Ordinance to give the Governor in Council power to order refunds of rates.

BE it enacted by the Governor of Hongkong, with the advice and consent of the Legislative Council thereof, as follows:—

Short title.

1. This Ordinance may be cited as the Rating (Refunds) Ordinance, 1926

Additional power to grant refund of rates.

Ordinance No. 6 of 1901.

2. Notwithstanding anything in the Rating Ordinance, 1901, it shall be lawful for the Governor in Council to order a refund of rates to be made in any case in which he may think fit to do so.

Making false statement an offence.

3. Every person who knowingly makes to any person any false statement for the purpose of obtaining a refund of rates under the provisions of this Ordinance shall upon summary conviction be liable to a fine not exceeding two hundred and fifty dollars.

Objects and Reasons.

- 1. Various causes, some of which began to operate over a year ago, make it desirable that there should, temporarily, be a somewhat wider power to grant refunds of rates than exists at present. The chief obstacle in the way of such relief at present is the statutory rule that no refund can be made unless the whole of a tenement has been vacant during the month in respect of which the refund is claimed. There are also o her difficulties. The bill proposes to avoid them all by giving a perfectly wide discretion to the Governor in Council. A wide discretion is also necessary in order that the varying difficulties of fact may be dealt with fairly and expeditiously. The intention is to exercise this discretion only in order to enable refunds to be made in respect of vacancies of portions of tenements for periods of one or more months. It must be pointed out that in ordering such refunds account will be taken of the fact that the assessment itself always makes a certain allowance for possible vacancies. Accordingly, if two floors of a house were vacant the refund would probably be of the amount of the rates attributable to one of the two floors only.
- 2. No definite term has been set to the operation of the Ordinance but it is not anticipated that the necessity for the relief will be of long duration.

J. H. KEMP, Attorney General.

24th February, 1926.

C.S.O. 4526/26.

A BILL

INTITULED

An Ordinance to repeal certain enactments imposing disabilities on former enemy aliens.

BE it enacted by the Governor of Hongkong, with the advice and consent of the Legislative Council thereof, as follows:

- 1. This Ordinance may be cited as the Former Enemy Short title. Aliens (Disabilities Removal) Ordinance, 1926.
- 2. The enactments mentioned in the Schedule, which Repeal of E. The enactments mentioned in the Schedule, which Repeal of impose disabilities on subjects, citizens and companies of certain enactments of former enemy countries in connection with immovable property dealt with in liquidations under the Alien Enemies (Winding up) Ordinances, 1914 to 1917, and in respect of former enemy the carrying on of banking business within the Colony, aliens. and in respect of service on board British ships registered in the Colony, are hereby repealed to the extent specified in the third column of the Schedule.

SCHEDULE.

Year and number.	Short title.	Extent of repeal.	
28 of 1914.	Alien Enemies (Winding up) Ordinance, 1914.	Paragraphs (b), (e) and (i) of section 2, sec- tions 25, 26, 27, 28 and 29, and Forms Nos. 3 and 4 in the Schedule.	
2 of 1919.	Banking Business (Prohibited Control) Ordinance, 1919.	The whole Ordinance.	
8 of 1922,	Merchant Shipping (Aliens Employment) Ordinance, 1922.	Sections 2 and 4, the words "or a former enemy alien" in section 5, and the words "and of former enemy aliens" in the long title.	

Objects and Reasons.

1. In the treaty of commerce and navigation between 1. In the treaty of commerce and navigation between United Kingdom and Germany which was ratified on the 8th September, 1925, His Majesty's Government undertook to recommend to Parliament the necessary legislation for the removal of certain disabilities affecting German companies which did not extend to the subjects or citizens or companies of the most favoured foreign country. This resulted in the passing of the Former Enemy Aliens (Disabilities Removal) Act, 1925, 15 & 16 Geo. 5, c. 43. This Colony proposes to give notice of desire to adhere to the above treaty, and it therefore becomes necessary to legislate above treaty, and it therefore becomes necessary to legislate bere in the same way as in the United Kingdom. This bill, therefore, proposes to repeal three enactments which were directed against former enemy aliens, i.e., provisions

to prevent any immovable property dealt with in liquidations under the Alien Enemies (Winding up) Ordinances, 1914 to 1917, from coming under the control of former enemies, restrictions on banking business, and the prohibition against the employment of former enemy aliens on British ships registered in the Colony.

2. The English Act dealt also with another matter, i.e., the restriction on dealings in non-ferrous metals. We had similar legislation in this Colony, i.e., Ordinances Nos. 1 of 1919 and 4 of 1920, but those Ordinances were repealed by Ordinance No. 11 of 1921.

J. H. KEMP,
Attorney General.

8th February, 1926.

NOTICES.

COLONIAL SECRETARY'S DEPARTMENT.

No. S. 69.—Statement of Sanitary Measures adopted by Hongkong.

Disease.	Port or Place.	Restrictions in Force.	Authority.
Cholera.	Bangkok.	Medical examination; quarantine at the discretion of the Health Officer.	Notification No. 655 of 20th November, 1925.
Small-pox.	Shanghai.	Do.	Notification No. 718 of 17th December, 1925.
Small-pox.	Amoy.	Do.	Notification No. 124 of 9th March, 1926.

No. S. 70 - Statement of Sanitary Measures adopted against Hongkong.

Place or Port.	Nature of Measures.	Date.	Reference to Government Notification.
Manila.	Inspections outside Manila harbour from 20th April. Third class passengers and new crew must comply with the vaccination requirements.	16th April, 1924.	_

E. R. HALLIFAX, Colonial Secretary.

12th March, 1926.